

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspid.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/006,692	12/10/2001	Burton H. Poppenga	10012893-1	3075	
7590 08/19/2005			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			YIGDALL, MICHAEL J		
P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration 00		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2192		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/006,692	POPPENGA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael J. Yigdall	2192 .	

	- ZAGIIIII GI	Alt Ollic				
	Michael J. Yigdall	2192 .				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 26 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
 a)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th		er is later. In no			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co.):	·	,			
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 14-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by		•				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:						



Continuation of 3.

The proposed amendments change the scope of the claims. For example, claims 24 and 26 would now recite installing a driver "on the devices" rather than "on the device" as previously presented. Applicant considers installing the driver on plural devices, rather than on a single device, a distinguishing feature of the invention (Applicant's remarks, page 7, last paragraph). The new limitation would require further consideration and/or search. Accordingly, the proposed amendments filed after the final rejection will not be entered.

In response to Applicant's argument that Chiloyan does not disclose any association between the device identification numbers and the customer (Applicant's remarks, page 6, last paragraph), the device identification numbers taught by Chiloyan (see, for example, paragraph 0036, lines 13-19) are "associated" with the customer in that Chiloyan determines (a) whether the individual customer has already installed that device (see, for example, paragraph 0043, lines 1-4), and (b) whether the individual customer has set a flag for that device (see, for example, paragraph 0043, lines 10-12).

In response to Applicant's argument that Chiloyan does not disclose storing the identification numbers and associated configuration information in a first database and storing the associated device drivers in a second database (Applicant's remarks, page 7, third paragraph), it is noted that claim 17 does not recite such limitations. Nonetheless, with regard to claims 18 and 23, Chiloyan discloses storing the identification numbers and associated configuration information in a remote database (see, for example, paragraph 0045, lines 1-23), or in other words, in a "first database" on the server. Chiloyan further discloses storing the associated device drivers on the server (see, for example, paragraph 0041, lines 1-6), or in other words, in a "second database" on the server. The plain language of the claims does not require that the "first" and "second" databases are necessarily different (or the same), and thus does not exclude the teachings of Chiloyan.

MY

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER